# Characterization of $(Al_xGa_{1\cdot x})_2O_3$ Thin Films Grown on <010> $\beta$ Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by MBE

## CNF Project Number: 2443-16 Principal Investigators: Huili Grace Xing, Debdeep Jena Users: Nicholas Tanen, Vasanth Balakrishnan

Affiliations: Materials Science and Engineering, Electrical and Computer Engineering; Cornell University Primary Source of Research Funding: NSF -Designing Materials to Revolutionize and Engineer our Future Contact: grace.xing@cornell.edu, djena@cornell.edu, ntj47@cornell.edu, vb322@cornell.edu Website: http://grace.engineering.cornell.edu Primary CNF Tools Used: Veeco Icon AFM

### Abstract:

Electronic devices, made of wide bandgap semiconductors, show low current leakage and high breakdown fields. Oxides of aluminum, gallium, and indium (Al, Ga and In) form a class of semiconductors with promising properties. Currently HEMT transistors, fabricated of  $Ga_2O_3$  and  $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_2O_3$  semiconductors have high breakdown voltages and low leakage currents. Hence, it is of immense interest to study and understand the growth parameters for  $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_2O_3$ . Here we report our preliminary growth and surface morphology results for  $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_2O_3$  films grown on  $<010> -\beta$  phase  $Ga_2O_3$  substrates by plasma-assisted molecular beam epitaxy (PAMBE). We correlate the growth parameters with quality and surface morphology of  $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_2O_3$  films.

### **Summary of Research:**

We grew  $(Al_xGa_{1,x})_2O_3$  films with the Veeco GEN930 PAMBE system on <010> UID  $\beta$  Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrates at substrate temperature 500°C and O<sub>2</sub> flow 3 sccm. Based on AFM scans performed over a 2  $\mu$ m × 2  $\mu$ m sample area, the surface structure of the film is elongated along the <100> direction.

At a lower Al content, Al gets incorporated in the films smoothly and for x < 0.2, we observe corrugated and smooth surface having very low RMS roughness. As the Al content the layers increases above a certain limit the films become amorphous and the surface roughness increases significantly. Figures 1 and 2 indicate good surface morphologies with smooth surfaces. Figure 3 on the other hand, is for a Ga rich growth regime (high Ga BEP) which led to poor surface morphology due to Ga<sub>2</sub>O desorption mechanism, this increased the Al incorporation in the film and made the film surface rough.

### **References:**

- [1] Kaun, S.W., F. Wu, and J.S. Speck. " $\beta$ -(Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1,x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <010> heterostructures grown on  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <010> substrates by plasmaassisted molecular beam epitaxy." J. of Vacuum Science and Technology A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films 33.4 (2015): 041508.
- [2] Vogt, Patrick, and Oliver Bierwagen. "Reaction kinetics and growth window for plasma-assisted molecular beam epitaxy of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: Incorporation of Ga vs. Ga<sub>2</sub>O desorption." Applied Physics Letters 108.7 (2016): 072101.



Figure 1: 2  $\mu$ m × 2  $\mu$ m AFM scan for Ga BEP = 3 × 10<sup>-9</sup> (RMS = 1.08 nm).



Figure 2: 2  $\mu$ m × 2  $\mu$ m AFM scan for Ga BEP = 5 × 10<sup>-9</sup>. (RMS = 0.272 nm).



Figure 3: 2  $\mu$ m × 2  $\mu$ m AFM scan for Ga BEP = 30 × 10<sup>-9</sup> (RMS = 4.45 nm).



*Figure 4: Graphical plot of*  $(Al_xGa_{1-x})_2O_3$  *film roughness*  $R_a$  *vs Ga flux.*